



IN THE HIGH COURT OF KARNATAKA AT BENGALURU

DATED THIS THE 3RD DAY OF JUNE, 2026

BEFORE

THE HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

WRIT PETITION NO. 36024 OF 2025 (GM-KEB)

BETWEEN:

M/S SHOBAN CONSTRUCTIONS AND
DEVELOPERS
NO. 160, MCECHS LAYOUT,
1ST CROSS, NEAR SBI BANK,
DR.SHIVARAMAKARANTH NAGAR,
BENGALURU -560 077
REPRESENTED BY ITS
MANAGING PARTNER
SRI.B.M.SHOBHAN REDDY
RESIDING AT NO.160,
1ST CROSS, MCECHS LAYOUT,
DR.SHIVARAMKARANTH NAGAR,
BENGALURU-560 077

...PETITIONER

(BY SRI. BAGOJI VIJAYAKMAR GURAPPA, ADVOCATE)

AND:

1. THE GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
MINISTRY OF ENERGY
GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
REPRESENTED BY ITS
PRINCIPAL SECRETARY
ROOM NO.236, 2ND FLOOR,
VIKASA SOUDHA,
DR.B.R.AMBEDKAR STREET,
BENGALURU -560 001
2. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR
KARNATAKA POWER TRANSMISSION



CORPORATION LIMITED.,
CORPORATE OFFICE,
KAVERI BHAVAN, K.G.ROAD
BENGLAURU-560 009

3. THE MANAGING DIRECTOR,
BENGALURU ELECTRICITY SUPPLY
CORPORATION LIMITED.,
K.R.CIRCLE,
BENGALURU – 560 001
4. THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
ELE (C,O AND M),
SHIVAJINAGAR DIVISION,
LAZAR ROAD,
BENGALURU-560 005
5. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (V)
E-9, NAGAWAR SUB - DIVISION,
H.B.R.LAYOUT,
BENGALURU -560 043
6. THE ASSISTANT EXECUTIVE ENGINEER (V)
BYATARAYANAPURA, SUB - DIVISION,
BENGALURU NORTH CITY PALIKE,
BENGALURU -560 024

...RESPONDENTS

(BY SRI.K.S.N.RAJESH, ADVOCATE FOR R5
SRI.GUNASHEKAR S., ADVOCATE FOR IMPEADING
APPLICANT ON IA 1/25)

THIS WP IS FILED UNDER ARTICLES 226 AND 227 OF
THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, PRAYING TO ISSUE A WRIT IN
THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI QUASHING THE NOTICE
BEARING NO.ND(E9)AEE(V)/3249-50 DATED 18.10.2025
ISSUED BY THE RESPONDENT NO.5 TO THE PETITIONER
PRODUCED AT ANNEXURE-A.

THIS PETITION, COMING ON FOR ORDERS, THIS DAY,
ORDER WAS MADE THEREIN AS UNDER:



CORAM: HON'BLE SMT. JUSTICE LALITHA KANNEGANTI

ORAL ORDER

A memo is filed by the learned counsel for the petitioner seeking withdrawal of the writ petition. When the matter came up on 02.06.2026, this Court had adjourned the matter as liberty is sought by the petitioner and there was no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

2. Today also, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. This Court do not find any reasons to grant any liberty.

3. Accordingly, the writ petition is ***dismissed as withdrawn.***

i. All I.As. in this petition shall stand closed.

**SD/-
(LALITHA KANNEGANTI)
JUDGE**

MEG
List No.: 2 Sl No.: 18